arXiv:1609.04610v1 [math.CV] 15 Sep 2016

A NOTE ON APPROXIMATION OF PLURISUBHARMONIC FUNCTIONS

HÅKAN PERSSON AND JAN WIEGERINCK

Dedicated to John Erik Fornæss on occasion of his 70-th birthday.

Abstract. We extend a recent result of Avelin, Hed, and Persson about approximation of functions u that are plurisubharmonic on a domain Ω and continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$, with functions that are plurisubharmonic on (shrinking) neighborhoods of $\bar{\Omega}$. We show that such approximation is possible if the boundary of Ω is C^0 outside a countable exceptional set $E \subset \partial\Omega$. In particular, approximation is possible on the Hartogs triangle. For Hölder continuous u, approximation is possible under less restrictive conditions on E. We next give examples of domains where this kind of approximation is not possible, even when approximation in the Hölder continuous case is possible.

1. Introduction

In [Sib] Sibony showed that any C^∞-smooth pseudoconvex domain Ω in $\mathbb{C}^n$ has the *P(luri)S(ub)H(armonic) Mergelyan property*, cf. [Hed]. That is, every function u that is plurisubharmonic on Ω and continuous on $\overline{\Omega}$ can be approximated uniformly on $\overline{\Omega}$ by continuous plurisubharmonic functions v_j that are defined on (shrinking) domains Ω_j that contain $\overline{\Omega}$. Fornæss and the second author extended this result in [FW] to arbitrary domains with C^1-boundary. Recently, see [Hed, AHP], it was shown that the result remains valid if one only assumes C^0-boundary.

Recall that a domain G in $\mathbb{R}^n$ has C^0-boundary if the boundary is locally the graph of a continuous function over an $(n-1)$-dimensional hyperplane. This is equivalent to saying that G has the *Segment Property* for every z in ∂G, cf. [Fra, Hed, AHP], i.e., there exists a neighborhood U of z and a vector $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$U \cap \overline{G} + tw \subset G, \quad \text{for all } 0 < t < 1.$$

For an arbitrary domain in G we will call $z \in \partial G$ a C^0-boundary point if G has the Segment Property at z. The C^0-boundary points form a relative open subset of ∂G.

Let Δ be the unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$. In [Hed] it is observed that the domain $\Delta \setminus [-1/2, 1/2]\}$ does not have the PSH-Mergelyan property. The natural setting to study this kind of approximation problems, however, is the case where Ω is a fat domain, i.e. $\Omega = (\overline{\Omega})^o$. For domains in $\mathbb{C}$ (and in fact $\mathbb{R}^n$) results from classical potential theory give precise criteria for "Mergelyan type" approximation with *harmonic* and *subharmonic* functions.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32U05, (31B25, 31B05).

Key words and phrases. plurisubharmonic function; approximation; Mergelyan type approximation.

For harmonic functions this goes already back to Keldysh, [Kel], and Deny, [Den], see also [ArGa, Gar, Hedb]. We recall the result in Section 4, where we give several examples of domains where the PSH-Mergelyan property does not hold.

There is a big gap between the counterexamples in $\mathbb{C}$ and domains with C^0-boundary. It is a natural question whether the Hartogs triangle, $R = \{(z,w) : 0 < |z| < |w| < 1\}$ has the PSH-Mergelyan property, as it is known that R does not have the Mergelyan property for holomorphic functions. As the main result of this note, however, we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *Domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$ of which the boundary is C^0 with the possible exception of a countable set of boundary points, have the PSH-Mergelyan property.*

In particular this shows that the Hartogs triangle has the PSH-Mergelyan property.

In Section 3, we study uncountable exceptional sets and show that when extra assumptions are made on the modulus of continuity of the approximand, the result of Theorem 2.3 can be extended to certain uncountable sets. In Section 4, we give several examples showing the difficulty of characterising the PSH-Mergelyan property. We also make some comparisons to the classical problem of (sub-) harmonic approximation and to approximation involving Hölder continuous functions.

2. Approximation of plurisubharmonic functions

Let $\mathrm{PSH}(\Omega)$ denote the plurisubharmonic functions on Ω and $\mathrm{PSH}(\overline{\Omega})$ the functions that are uniform limits of plurisubharmonic functions defined on (shrinking) neighborhoods of $\overline{\Omega}$. Let $B(a,r) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ denote the ball with center a and radius r.

For the readers convenience, we recall the familiar definition of modulus of continuity.

Definition 2.1. Suppose that u is a function defined on the set X. A function $\omega : [0,\infty] \to [0,\infty]$ is called a *modulus of continuity* for u if

$$|u(z) - u(w)| \le \omega\big(|z-w|\big), \quad \forall z, w \in X.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let D be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and let u be in $\mathrm{PSH}(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$ with modulus of continuity ω. Let $a \in D$. For every small $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a function $v \in \mathrm{PSH}(D) \cup B(a,\varepsilon)$ that is continuous on $D \cup B(a,\varepsilon)$ and satisfies*

$$\sup_{\bar{D}} |u - v| \le 4\omega\Big(\mathrm{diam}(D)^{2/3}\varepsilon^{1/3}\Big).$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that a is the origin and that $f(0) = 0$.

Let $\eta = \mathrm{diam}(D)^{2/3}\varepsilon^{1/3}$, and

$$\alpha = 6\omega(\eta)\Big(\log\big(\mathrm{diam}(D)/\varepsilon\big)\Big)^{-1}.$$

Define

$$v(z) = \begin{cases} \max\{u(z) + \alpha\log|z/\eta|, -2\omega(\eta)\}, & \text{if } |z| \le \eta; \\ u(z) + \alpha\log|z/\eta|, & \text{if } |z| > \eta. \end{cases}$$

Since $u(z) + \alpha \log\big|z/\eta\big| \geq -\omega(\eta)$ for $|z| = \eta$ it is obvious that $v \in \mathrm{PSH}(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$. Furthermore, when $|z| \leq \varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} u(z) + \alpha \log\big|z/\eta\big| &\leq \omega(\varepsilon) + \alpha \log\big(\varepsilon/\eta\big) \\ &= \omega(\varepsilon) + \frac{6\omega(\eta)}{\log\big(\mathrm{diam}(D)/\varepsilon\big)} \log\big(\varepsilon/\eta\big) \\ &= \omega(\varepsilon) + \frac{6\omega(\eta)}{\log\big(\mathrm{diam}(D)/\varepsilon\big)} \log\Big(\big(\varepsilon/\mathrm{diam}(D)\big)^{2/3}\Big) \\ &\leq \omega(\varepsilon) - 4\omega(\eta) \\ &\leq -3\omega(\eta), \end{aligned}$$

and hence $v \equiv -2\omega(\eta)$ on $D \cap B(a, \varepsilon)$. Thus setting $v \equiv -2\omega(\eta)$ extends v as a plurisubharmonic function to $B(a, \varepsilon)$. Furthermore, if ε is small and $v(z) = u(z) + \alpha \log\big|z/\eta\big|$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |u(z) - v(z)| &\leq \alpha\Big|\log\big|z/\eta\big|\Big| \\ &= \alpha\Big|\log|z| - \frac{2}{3}\log(\mathrm{diam}(D)) - \frac{\log(\varepsilon)}{3}\Big| \\ &\leq \frac{2\alpha}{3}\Big|\log(\mathrm{diam}(D)) - \log(\varepsilon)\Big| \\ &= 4\omega(\eta) = 4\omega\Big(\mathrm{diam}(D)^{2/3}\varepsilon^{1/3}\Big) \end{aligned}$$

and if $v(z) = -2\omega(\eta)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |u(z) - v(z)| &\leq \omega(\eta) + 2\omega(\eta) \\ &= 3\omega\Big(\mathrm{diam}(D)^{2/3}\varepsilon^{1/3}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.3. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Suppose that Ω has C^0 boundary except at countable set of boundary points K. Then Ω has the PSH-Mergelyan property.*

Proof. Because the set of C^0-boundary points is open, K is compact. Let $u \in \mathrm{PSH}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ and let $K = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots\}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We apply Lemma 2.2 on a subsequence (a_{n_j}) of K as follows. Applying the lemma to $a_{n_1} = a_1$ we find a ball $B_1 = B(a_{n_1}, r_1)$ and a continuous function v_1 defined on the closure of $\Omega_1 = \Omega \cup B_1$ that is plurisubharmonic on Ω_1 such that $|v_1 - u| \leqslant \varepsilon/2$ on $\overline{\Omega}$ and such that $K \cap \partial B_1 = \emptyset$.

Let a_{n_2} be the first element of K that is not in B_1. Then $a_{n_2} \notin \overline{B_1}$ and applying the lemma gives us a ball B_2 about a_{n_2} and a continuous function v_2 defined on the closure of $\Omega_2 = \Omega_1 \cup B_2$ that is plurisubharmonic on Ω_2 and satisfies $|v_1 - v_2| \leqslant e^{-2}\varepsilon$ on $\overline{\Omega_1}$ and such that $\overline{B_2} \cap \overline{B_1} = \emptyset$ and $K \cap \partial B_2 = \emptyset$.

We continue in this fashion and obtain a sequence of balls B_j, and continuous functions v_j, which are defined on the closure of $\Omega_j = \Omega \cup \bigcup_1^j B_i$ and plurisubharmonic on Ω_j, such

that $|v_{j-1} - v_j| < 2^{-j}\varepsilon$ on Ω_j, $K \subset \cup_j B_j$ and $\overline{B_i} \cap \overline{B_j} = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Because K is compact, this sequence is finite, $K \subset \cup_{j=1}^{N} B_j$ for some $N > 0$. The function v_N is defined and plurisubharmonic on Ω_N and $|v_N - u| < \varepsilon$ on $\overline{\Omega}$. We will use Gauthier's Localization Theorem, see [Gau], also cf. [Hed], Chapter 5, which states that $f \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap \mathrm{PSH}(\overline{\Omega})$ if and only if for every $z_0 \in \overline{\Omega}$ there is an open set U containing z_0 such that $f \in C(\overline{\Omega \cap U}) \cap \mathrm{PSH}(\overline{\Omega \cap U})$. We apply this to v_N. For $z_0 \in \overline{\Omega} \cap B(a_j, r_j)$ or $z_0 \in \Omega$ this is immediate. If $z_0 \in \partial\Omega \setminus \cup_j B(a_j, r_j)$ then Ω has by assumption the segment property at z_0. Then there exists a neighborhood U of z_0 and a vector $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$U \cap \overline{\Omega} + tw \subset \Omega, \qquad \text{for all } 0 < t < 1.$$

Fix a ball $B(z, r)$ that is compactly contained in U. Then the functions v_t defined by $v_t(z) = v_N(z + tw)$, which are defined on neighborhoods of $\overline{\Omega} \cap B(z_0, r)$ approximate v_N uniformly on $\overline{\Omega} \cap \overline{B(z, r)}$ when $t \downarrow 0$. The conclusion is that v_N and hence also u belongs to $C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap \mathrm{PSH}(\overline{\Omega})$. □

Remark 2.4. Note that this proof also gives a proof of the PSH-Mergelyan property for C^0-domains. It is shorter, because of Gauthier's theorem, but essentially not different from the proof in [AHP].

3. Approximation of Hölder continuous PSH functions

In many practical cases when working with plurisubharmonic functions, one has some extra quantitative information about the modulus of continuity (see for example [DF] and [Sic]). In such cases we can extend the results of Theorem 2.3 to certain uncountable exceptional sets. In order to describe those sets, we need the following definition.

Definition 3.1. Let $C > 1$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$. The set K satisfies $\mathrm{PS}(C, \varphi)$ (K is said to be *C-proportionally well separated of negligible φ-measure*), if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a set of balls $\mathcal{F} = \{B(z_j, r_j)\}$ satisfying the following properties:

(1) $\mathcal{F}$ covers K;
(2) $\mathcal{F}$ is proportionally C-separated in the sense that

$$B(z_j, r_j) \cap B\big(z_k, Cr_k\big) = \emptyset, \qquad \text{for all } j \neq k;$$

(3)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi(r_j) < \varepsilon.$$

Remark 3.2. Note that K satisfies $\mathrm{PS}(C, \varphi)$ implies that the generalized Hausdorff measure $\Lambda_\varphi(K) = 0$.

Example 3.3. Let $\mathbf{s} = \{s_1, s_2, \cdots\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers $0 < s_j < 1$. Let I_0 be a closed interval in $\mathbb{R}$ and $C(s_1)$ be $I_0 \setminus J_1]$ where J_1 is an open interval about the center of I_0 of length $(1 - s_1)|I_0|$. Proceeding by induction, $C(s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ is obtained by removing

from each closed interval I in $C(s_1, \dots, s_{n-1})$ an open interval of length $(1 - s_n)|I|$ about the center of I. The generalized Cantor set $C(\mathbf{s})$ is now defined as follows.

$$C(\mathbf{s}) = \bigcap_{n \geqslant 1} C(s_1, \dots . s_n).$$

It is well known that $C(\mathbf{s})$ is homeomorphic to the standard Cantor set, and that its capacity is positive if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log s_n}{2^n} \tag{3.1}$$

is finite, cf. [Nev, Ran].

Now take $\mathbf{s} = \{2^{-n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Then by (3.1) $C(\mathbf{s})$ has positive capacity. We claim that for every $\delta > 0$ the set $C(\mathbf{s})$ satisfies $\mathrm{PS}(\varphi, C)$ for every C and $\varphi(s) = s^{\delta}$.

Indeed, note that $C(2^{-1}, \dots 2^{-N})$ consists of 2^N intervals of length $L_N = \prod_1^N (s_j/2) = 2^{-N(N+3)/2}$. Each of these intervals can be covered by an interval with the same midpoint and radius $r_N = L_N$. Thus $C(\mathbf{s}) \subset C(2^{-1}, \dots 2^{-N})$ is covered by 2^N intervals I_j of radius r_N. The distance between I_j and CI_k is smallest when I_j and I_k belong to the same interval in $C(2^{-1}, \dots 2^{-(N-1)})$. This distance then equals

$$L_{N-1} - (2 + C)r_N = L_{N-1} - (2 + C)2^{-N-1}L_{N-1},$$

which is positive if $C < 2^{N+1} - 2$.

For fixed $\delta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_j^{\delta} &= 2^N \left(2^{(-N(N+3))/2}\right)^{\delta} \\ &\leq 2^{N - \delta(N^2+3N)/2}, \end{aligned}$$

which tends to 0 if $N \to \infty$.

The following example shows that it might be hard to give sufficient criteria for sets to be proportionally separated.

Example 3.4. The set $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ defined by $X = \{1/j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ satisfies Property $\mathrm{PS}(C, \varphi)$ with $C = 2$ and $\varphi(t) = t^{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha > 0$. On the other hand the set $Y = X \cup \{0\}$ does not satisfy Property $\mathrm{PS}(C, t^{\alpha})$ for any $C > 1$ and α.

We are now ready to state and prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a bounded domain and that $u \in \mathrm{PSH}(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$, with a concave modulus of continuity ω. Suppose also that there is a set $E \setminus \partial D$ such that each $z \in \partial\Omega \setminus E$ has a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $u \in \mathrm{PSH}(\overline{U \cap D})$.*

If E satisfies $\mathrm{PS}(C, \varphi)$ with $\varphi(t) = \omega(t)\log(1/t)$, then $u \in \mathrm{PSH}(\overline{D})$.

Remark 3.6. Since $\overline{\Omega}$ is compact and u is continuous, u admits *at least* one concave modulus of continuity.

Remark 3.7. One might wonder if the assumption on the modulus of continuity is necessary, or just a consequence of our method of proof. Although we don't know that this condition in sharp, Example 4.3 of the subsequent section shows that some condition on the modulus of continuity is necessary for the theorem to hold.

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $\operatorname{diam}(D) = 1$. For a fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\{B(z_j, r_j\}_{j=1}^N$ be a covering of K satisfying

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \omega(r_j) \log(1/r_j) \leq \varepsilon,$$

such that $\{B(z_j, r_j\}_{j=1}^N$ is pairwise disjoint and $B(z_j, r_j) \cap B(z_k, Cr_k) = \emptyset$ for all $j \neq k$ and some $1 < C < 2$.

Now let

$$v_j(z) = \begin{cases} \max\{u(z) + \frac{2}{\log(C)}\omega(Cr_j)\log\big|\frac{z-z_j}{Cr_j}\big|, u(z_j) - \omega(Cr_j)\}, & \text{if } |z - z_j| \leq Cr_j \\ u(z) + \frac{2}{\log(C)}\omega(Cr_j)\log\big|\frac{z-z_j}{Cr_j}\big|, & \text{if } |z - z_j| > Cr_j \end{cases}$$

If $|z - z_j| = Cr_j$, it follows that

$$u(z) + 2\omega(Cr_j)\log\big|\frac{z - z_j}{Cr_j}\big| \geq u(z_j) - \omega(Cr_j)$$

and therefore it follows by the usual gluing argument that $v \in \mathrm{PSH}(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$. Furthermore, when $|z - z_j| \leq r_j$,

$$\begin{aligned} u(z) + \frac{2}{\log(C)}\omega(Cr_j)\log\big|\frac{z - z_j}{Cr_j}\big| &\leq u(z_j) + \omega(r_j) + \frac{2}{\log(C)}\omega(Cr_j)\log\big(r_j/(Cr_j)\big) \\ &= u(z_j) + \omega(r_j) - \frac{2}{\log(C)}\omega(Cr_j)\log(C) \\ &\leq u(z_j) - \omega(Cr_j), \end{aligned}$$

and hence v_j is constant on $D \cap B(z_j, r_j)$. Thus v_j can be trivially plurisubharmonically extended to $D \cup B(z_j, r_j)$.

Now let

$$\tilde{v}_j(z) = v_j(z) + \frac{2}{\log(C)} \sum_{k \neq j} \omega(Cr_k)\log\big|\frac{z - z_k}{Cr_k}\big|,$$

and define

$$v(z) = \max\{\tilde{v}_j(z) : 1 \leq j \leq N\}.$$

We now want to show that $v(z) = \tilde{v}_j(z)$ when $|z - z_j| < Cr_j$, which will imply that v admits a plurisubharmonic extension to $D \cup B(z_j, r_j)$. For this, suppose that $|z - z_j| < Cr_j$ and

let $k \neq j$ be arbitrary. Then it follows from the fact that $B(z_j, Cr_j) \cap B(z_k, Cr_k) = \emptyset$, that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{v}_k(z) &= u(z) + \frac{2}{\log(C)} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \omega(Cr_k) \log\Big|\frac{z - z_k}{Cr_k}\Big| \\ &\leq v_j(z) + \frac{2}{\log(C)} \sum_{k\neq j} \omega(Cr_k) \log\Big|\frac{z - z_k}{Cr_k}\Big| \\ &= \tilde{v}_j(z).\end{aligned}$$

To see that v approximates u, we consider two different cases.

Case 1:

$$z \in \Omega \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} B(z_j, Cr_j).$$

Suppose that $z \in B(z_j, Cr_j)$ for some $j = 1 \dots N$. Then it was earlier demonstrated that

$$v(z) = v_j(z) + \frac{2}{\log(C)} \sum_{k\neq j} \omega(Cr_k) \log\Big|\frac{z - z_k}{Cr_k}\Big|.$$

There are now two possibilities.

Case 1.1: If

$$v_j(z) = u(z) + \frac{2}{\log(C)} \omega(Cr_j) \log\Big|\frac{z - z_j}{Cr_j}\Big|,$$

then $r_j \leqslant |z - z_j| \leqslant Cr_j$ and hence $\log\Big|\frac{z-z_\ell}{Cr_\ell}\Big|$ is negative for $\ell = j$ and positive for all other ℓ. Keeping this in mind and that $\operatorname{diam}(D) < 1$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{v}_j(z) - u(z) &= \frac{2}{\log(C)} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \omega(Cr_k) \log\Big|\frac{z - z_k}{Cr_k}\Big| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\log(C)} \sum_{k\neq j} \omega(Cr_k) \log\left(\frac{1}{Cr_k}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\log(C)} \sum_{k\neq j} \omega(Cr_k) \log\left(\frac{1}{r_k}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{2C}{\log(C)} \sum_{k\neq j} \omega(r_k) \log\left(\frac{1}{r_k}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{2C}{\log(C)} \varepsilon,\end{aligned}$$

where we in the second to last inequality have used the fact that ω is concave.

On the other hand, it also holds that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{v}_j(z) - u(z) &= \frac{2}{\log(C)} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \omega(Cr_k) \log \left| \frac{z - z_k}{Cr_k} \right| \\ &\geq \frac{2}{\log(C)} \omega(Cr_j) \log \left| \frac{z - z_j}{Cr_j} \right| \\ &\geq \frac{2}{\log(C)} \omega(Cr_j) \log \left(\frac{1}{C} \right) \\ &= -2\omega(Cr_j) \geq -2C\omega(r_j) \geq -4\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Case 1.2: If $v_j(z) = u(z_j) - \omega(Cr_j)$, it similarly follows that

$$\begin{aligned}u(z) - \tilde{v}_j(z) &\leq u(z) - u(z_j) + \omega(Cr_j) - \frac{2}{\log(C)} \sum_{k \neq j} \omega(Cr_k) \log \left| \frac{z - z_k}{Cr_k} \right| \\ &\leq 2\omega(Cr_j) \leq 2C\varepsilon,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{v}_j(z) - u(z) &\leq u(z_j) - u(z) - \omega(Cr_j) + \frac{2}{\log(C)} \sum_{k \neq j} \omega(Cr_k) \log \left| \frac{z - z_k}{Cr_k} \right| \\ &\leq \omega(Cr_j) - \omega(Cr_j) + \frac{2}{\log(C)} \sum_{k \neq j} \omega(Cr_k) \log(1/Cr_k) \\ &\leq \frac{2C}{\log(C)} \sum_{k \neq j} \omega(r_k) \log(1/r_k) \\ &\leq \frac{2C}{\log(C)} \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Case 2:

$$z \in \Omega \setminus \bigcup B(z_j, Cr_j).$$

In this case note that now for all j it holds that $v_j(z) = u(z) + \frac{2}{\log(C)} \omega(Cr_j) \log \left| \frac{z - z_j}{Cr_j} \right|$. It follows similarly as above that

$$\begin{aligned}|u(z) - \tilde{v}_j(z)| &= \frac{2}{\log(C)} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \omega(Cr_k) \log \left| \frac{z - z_k}{Cr_k} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2C}{\log(C)} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \omega(r_k) \log \left(\frac{1}{r_k} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2C}{\log(C)} \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

We have thus shown that on $\overline{\Omega}$, u is a uniform limit of functions like v. To show that $u \in \mathrm{PSH}(\bar{D})$, it therefore suffices to show that $v \in \mathrm{PSH}(\bar{D})$. It follows from Gauthier's

localization lemma, that it is enough to show that every $z \in \partial D$ has a neighborhood U_z such that $v \in \mathrm{PSH}(\overline{U_z \cap D})$. For $z \in \partial D \setminus K$, this follows from the assumptions of the theorem and for $z \in K$, it follows by the construction that there is a neighborhood U_z of z where v is constant and hence trivially in $\mathrm{PSH}(\overline{U_z \cap D})$. □

4. Domains without the Mergelyan property

The following result, which was mentioned in the introduction, goes back to Keldysh and Deny in case of harmonic functions and is in full generality a consequence of results by Bliedtner and Hansen, [BlHa], and cf. [Gar]. It reads as follows.

Theorem 4.1. *Let K be a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. The following are equivalent*

1. *Every function u that is continuous on K and (sub)harmonic on K^o can be uniformly approximated on K by functions that are (sub)harmonic on (shrinking) neighborhoods of K.*
2. *The sets $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K$ and $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K^o$ are thin at the same points.*

With this result, examples like the following have been constructed.

Example 4.2. Let $\{a_j\}$ be a sequence in $\Delta \setminus [-1/2, 1/2]$, such that $\overline{\{a_j\}} = \{a_j\} \cup [-1/2, 1/2]$. Define $c_j > 0$ sequentially such that $h(z) = \sum_j c_j \log|z - a_j| > -1$ on $[-1/2, 1/2]$, and next r_j in such a way that $h < -2$ if $|z - a_j| < r_j$ and, moreover the discs $\{|z - a_j| \leqslant r_j\}$ are disjoint. Then

$$D = \Delta \setminus \overline{(\cup_j \{|z - a_j| < r_j\})} = \Delta \setminus \left([-1/2, 1/2] \cup \bigcup_j \{|z - a_j| \leqslant r_j\} \right)$$

is a fat domain in $\mathbb{C}$, $K = \overline{D}$, and $K^o = D$ do not satisfy condition (2). In fact $\mathbb{C} \setminus K = \bigcup_j \{|z - a_j| < r_j\}$ is thin at all points in $[-1/2, 1/2]$, but $\mathbb{C} \setminus K^o$ is not. Hence K does not have the approximation property (1).

For completeness, and because Theorem 4.1 was misunderstood in [HP] see also [Hed, chapter 6], we show directly that D is hyperconvex, but not P-hyperconvex, that is, D admits a bounded subharmonic exhaustion function g, but no such function belongs to $\mathrm{PSH}(\overline{D})$. This corrects Remark 4.10 in [HP].

Observe that the domain D is a regular for the Dirichlet problem, since it has no isolated boundary points. Denote by F the solution of the Dirichlet problem on D with boundary values $|z|^2$ on ∂D. Then the function $z \mapsto |z|^2 - F(z)$ is a bounded subharmonic exhaustion function. To see that no bounded subharmonic exhaustion function g can be in $\mathrm{PSH}(K)$, notice that $[-1/2, 1/2]$ is contained in the fine interior of K, because $[-1/2, 1/2] \subset \{h > -3/2\}$, a finely open subset of K. Now if g were in $\mathrm{PSH}(K)$, g would be finely subharmonic on the fine interior of K as uniform limit of subharmonic functions. But $g = 0$ on $[-1/2, 1/2]$ and $\leqslant 0$ on a fine neighborhood of K, which would contradict the *fine maximum principle*, cf. [Fug, Theorem 12.6].

Observe that this also shows that D does not have the PSH-Mergelyan property either.

The example in [Hed], mentioned in the introduction, can be adapted to show that even if the exceptional set K in the boundary is very small, the domain will in general not have the PSH-Mergelyan property.

Example 4.3. (1) Let $C = C(\mathbf{s})$ ($\mathbf{s} = \{2^{-k}_{k\geqslant 1}\}$) in the interval $[-1/2, 1/2]$ be the Cantor set of Example 3.3. We have seen that C has Hausdorff dimension 0 and positive capacity. Let Δ be the open disc with radius 2 in $\mathbb{C}$, and let $D = \Delta \setminus C$. Then D is regular for the Dirichlet problem, and hence there exists a continuous function u on $\overline{\Delta}$ that is harmonic on D satisfying $u|_{\{|z|=2\}} = 0$ and $u|_C = 1$. Observe that $u \notin \mathrm{PSH}(\overline{D}) \cap C(\overline{D})$ because of the maximum principle.

As D is a regular domain for the Dirichlet problem, it admits a bounded continuous subharmonic exhaustion function ψ with $-1 \leqslant \psi \leqslant 0$. We set

$$\Omega = \{(z, w) \in D \times \mathbb{C} : |w| + \psi(z) < 0\}.$$

By its definition Ω is hyperconvex and fat. It is C^0 at all boundary points of the form $(z, \psi(z)e^{i\theta})$, $z \in D$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. The function u, now viewed as a function on $\overline{\Omega}$, is not in $\mathrm{PSH}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$, again because of the maximum principle.

In fact, the functions u and ψ in the example cannot not even be approximated by subharmonic functions that are Hölder continuous on Δ. Indeed, by a theorem of Sadullaev and Yarmetov, [SaYa], such Hölder continuous functions would in fact be subharmonic on Δ, again violating the maximum principle.

Similarly, we find that with Ω and u as above, u is not a uniform limit on $\overline{\Omega}$ of plurisubharmonic functions on Ω that are Hölder continuous on $\overline{\Omega}$. On the other hand, by Theorem 3.5, every Hölder continuous function in $\mathrm{PSH}(\Omega)$ belongs to $\mathrm{PSH}(\overline{\Omega})$.

In view of Theorem 4.1 and Example 4.2 one might hope that e.g. conditions like: *the sets $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$ and $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K^o$ are (pluri)-thin at the same points*, or perhaps that $K \cap L$ has the PSH-Mergelyan property for all complex lines L, would be equivalent with the PSH-Mergelyan property. This is not the case.

Example 4.4. Let $K = \{(z, w) : z \in \overline{\Delta}, |w| \leqslant d(z, [-1/2, 1/2])\}$ then $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus K$ and $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus K^o$ are both thin and pluri-thin at points of K^o and not thin or pluri-thin at other points of $\mathbb{C}^2$. For points in $K \setminus K^o$ this follows from the Poincaré Zaremba Criterion: in such points p there exists an open solid cone of revolution with vertex p in $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus K$, hence for a (pluri) subharmonic function h defined in a neighborhood of p we have $\limsup_{z\to p, z\notin K} h(z) = h(p)$. However, K does not have the PSH-Mergelyan property.

Example 4.5. Let Ω be the domain of Example 4.3, we will write $w = u + iv$, and let $\tilde{\Omega} = (\Omega \cup \{v > 0\}) \cap \{|z|^2 + |w|^2 < 3/2\}$. Then $\partial\tilde{\Omega}$ has C^0 boundary. This is clear at boundary points (z, w) with $w \neq 0$ and at boundary points belonging to $\{|z|^2+|w|^2 = 3/2\}$. Define for $z \in \Delta$ and $|u| + \varphi(z) \leqslant 0$ the continuous function $\psi : (z, u) \mapsto -\sqrt{\varphi^2(z) - u^2}$. Then the part of the boundary where $|z|^2 < 3/2$ and $v \leqslant 0$ is the graph $(z, u+i\psi(z, u))$ over a suitable domain in $D\times\mathbb{R}$. Hence $\tilde{\Omega}$ has the PSH-Mergelyan property, but its intersection with the line $w = 0$ has not.

Question 4.6. With an eye to Example 4.3 we ask the following. Suppose a domain Ω has C^0-boundary, except for a compact set K in $\partial\Omega$. Suppose also that K is a polar subset of a 1-dimensional analytic variety J. Does Ω have the PSH-Mergelyan property?

Question 4.7. Suppose that Ω is a fat, bounded, contractible domain. Does Ω have the PSH-Mergelyan property?

References

ArGa. Armitage, D.H. and Gardiner, S.J. *Classical potential theory* Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2001.

AHP. Avelin, B., Hed, L. and Persson, H. *Approximation of plurisubharmonic functions.* Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **61** (2016), no. 1, 23-28.

BlHa. Bliedtner, J. and Hansen, W. *Simplicial cones in potential theory. II. Approximation theorems.* Invent. Math. **46** (3) (1978), 255-275.

Den. Deny, J. *Systèmes totaux de fonctions harmoniques.* Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble **1** (1949, 1950), 103–113.

DF. Diederich, K., Fornæss, J. E. *Pseudoconvex domains: bounded strictly plurisubharmonic exhaustion functions.* Invent. Math. 39 (1977), no. 2, 129–141.

FW. Fornæss, J.E. , Wiegerinck, J. *Approximation of plurisubharmonic functions.* Ark. Mat. **27** (1989), 257-272.

Fra. Fraenkel, L.E. *On regularity of the boundary in the theory of Sobolev spaces.* Proc. London Math. Soc. **39** (3) (1979), 385-427.

Fug. Fuglede, B. *Finely Harmonic Functions* Springer LNM **289**, 1972.

Gar. Gardiner, S.J. *Harmonic approximation.* London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, **221** Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

Gau. Gauthier, P. *Approximation by (pluri)subharmonic functions: fusion and localization*, Canad. J. Math. **44** (5) (1992), 941-950.

Hed. Hed, L., *The Plurisubharmonic Mergelyan Property* Thesis, Umeå, 2012.

HP. Hed, L, Persson, H., *Plurisubharmonic approximation and boundary values of plurisubharmonic functions* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **413** (2014), 700–714.

Hedb. Hedberg, L.I. *Approximation by harmonic functions, and stability of the Dirichlet problem* Exposition. Math. **11** (1993), no. 3, 193-259.

Kel. Keldysh, M.V. *On the solubility and the stability of Dirichlet's problem* (Russian) Uspekhi Matem. Nauk **8** (1941), 171–231.

Nev. Nevanlinna, R. *Analytic Functions* Grundl. Math. Wiss. **162**, 1970.

Ran. Ransford, T. *Potential Theory in the Complex Plane* London Math. Soc. Student Texts **28** 1995.

SaYa. Sadullaev, A., Yarmetov, Zh. R. *Removable singularities of subharmonic functions in the class* Lip_α. (Russian) Mat. Sb. **186** (1995), no. 1, 131–148; translation in Sb. Math. **186** (1995), no. 1, 133–150.

Sib. Sibony, N. *Une classe de domaines pseudoconvexes* [A class of pseudoconvex domains]. Duke Math. J. **55** (1987), 299-319.

Sic. Siciak, J. *Wiener's type sufficient conditions in* $\mathbb{C}^N$. Univ. Iagel. Acta Math. No. 35 (1997), 47–74.

Dept. of Maths. Uppsala University, SE-751 06 Uppsala, Sweden
E-mail address: hakan.persson@math.uu.se

KdV Institute for Mathematics, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 105-107, P.O. box 94248, 1090 GE Amsterdam, The Netherlands
E-mail address: j.j.o.o.wiegerinck@uva.nl